Received 16 March 2006

Accepted 20 March 2006

Acta Crystallographica Section E Structure Reports Online

ISSN 1600-5368

Jack K. Clegg,^a* Michael J. Hayter,^a Katrina A. Jolliffe^b and Leonard F. Lindoy^a

^aCentre for Heavy Metals Research, School of Chemistry, F11, The University of Sydney, NSW, 2006, Australia, and ^bSchool of Chemistry, F11, The University of Sydney, NSW, 2006, Australia

Correspondence e-mail: clegg_j@chem.usyd.edu.au

Key indicators

Single-crystal X-ray study T = 150 K Mean σ (C–C) = 0.002 Å Disorder in solvent or counterion R factor = 0.023 wR factor = 0.065 Data-to-parameter ratio = 16.3

For details of how these key indicators were automatically derived from the article, see http://journals.iucr.org/e.

In the title complex, $[Co(C_5H_5N)_2(H_2O)_4](C_2H_3O_2)_2$, the octahedral cation is hydrogen bonded to the acetate anions *via* the coordinated water molecules. The cation and anions lie on a crystallographic mirror plane.

Tetraaquabis(pyridine- κN)cobalt(II) diacetate

Comment

Our group has long been interested in the use of metal complexes as components for the construction of larger supramolecular architectures (Lindoy & Atkinson, 2000). In particular, we are interested in the behaviour of metallosystems containing both N and O donor ligands (Clegg *et al.*, 2004, 2005, 2006). The title complex, (I), was obtained for use as a suitable precursor in such studies.



As expected, the coordination geometry for the Co^{II} cation (Fig. 1) is close to the ideal octahedral (Table 1), with the O atoms of the coordinated water molecules occupying the equatorial positions and with the axial sites occupied by coordinated pyridine ligands. A mirror plane passes through Co, N1, N2, C1, H1, C6 and H6, and a second mirror plane passes through C7, C8, C9 and C10. The acetate anions are hydrogen bonded to the water ligands; see Table 2 for the geometric parameters describing these interactions.

Experimental

The title complex was prepared from the stoichiometric addition of pyridine to an aqueous solution of hexaaquacobalt(II) acetate (2:1). Crystals suitable for the X-ray diffraction study were isolated from the resulting pink solution after several days of slow evaporation.

© 2006 International Union of Crystallography All rights reserved

metal-organic papers

 $D_x = 1.494 \text{ Mg m}^{-3}$

Cell parameters from 6128

 $0.40 \times 0.30 \times 0.20 \text{ mm}$

2304 independent reflections

 $w = 1/[\sigma^2(F_0^2) + (0.0396P)^2]$

+ 0.2088*P*] where $P = (F_0^2 + 2F_c^2)/3$

 $\Delta \rho_{\rm max} = 0.41 \text{ e } \text{\AA}^{-3}$

 $\Delta \rho_{\rm min} = -0.20 \text{ e } \text{\AA}^{-3}$

 $(\Delta/\sigma)_{\rm max} = 0.001$

2148 reflections with $I > 2\sigma(I)$

Mo $K\alpha$ radiation

reflections

 $\mu = 0.99 \text{ mm}^{-1}$

T = 150 (2) KPrism, orange

 $R_{\rm int} = 0.018$

 $\theta_{\rm max} = 28.3^\circ$

 $h = -11 \rightarrow 11$

 $k = -12 \rightarrow 12$

 $l = -15 \rightarrow 14$

 $\theta = 2.5 - 28.2^{\circ}$

Crystal data

$$\begin{split} & [\text{Co}(\text{C}_5\text{H}_5\text{N})_2(\text{H}_2\text{O})_4](\text{C}_2\text{H}_3\text{O}_2)_2 \\ & M_r = 407.28 \\ & \text{Monoclinic, } P_{2_1}/m \\ & a = 8.3770 \ (13) \text{ Å} \\ & b = 9.6030 \ (15) \text{ Å} \\ & c = 11.6700 \ (18) \text{ Å} \\ & \beta = 105.286 \ (2)^{\circ} \\ & V = 905.6 \ (2) \text{ Å}^3 \\ & Z = 2 \end{split}$$

Data collection

Bruker SMART 1000 CCD diffractometer ω scans Absorption correction: multi-scan (*SADABS*; Sheldrick, 2003) $T_{min} = 0.668, T_{max} = 0.820$ 8936 measured reflections

Refinement

Refinement on F^2 $R[F^2 > 2\sigma(F^2)] = 0.023$ $wR(F^2) = 0.065$ S = 1.052304 reflections 141 parameters H atoms treated by a mixture of independent and constrained refinement

Table I			
Selected	geometric parameters	(Å.	°).

Co1-N1	2.1444 (13)	Co1-O1	2.0921 (8)
Co1-N2	2.1531 (13)	Co1-O2	2.0914 (8)
N1-Co1-N2	179.75 (4)	O2 ⁱⁱ -Co1-O1	90.03 (4)
O1-Co1-O1 ⁱⁱ	89.80 (5)	O2-Co1-O2 ⁱⁱ	90.13 (5)
O2-Co1-O1	179.80 (3)		

Symmetry code: (ii) $x, -y + \frac{1}{2}, z$.

Table 2

. .

Hydrogen-bond geometry (Å, °).

$D - H \cdots A$	D-H	$H \cdot \cdot \cdot A$	$D \cdots A$	$D - H \cdot \cdot \cdot A$
O1−H1O···O4 ^{vi}	0.83 (1)	1.96 (1)	2.7652 (12)	163 (1)
$O1-H2O\cdots O4^{iii}$	0.88(1)	1.79 (1)	2.6660 (12)	174 (2)
O2−H3O···O3 ^{vii}	0.85 (1)	1.88 (1)	2.7111 (12)	165 (1)
$O2-H4O\cdots O3^{iv}$	0.87 (1)	1.83 (1)	2.6895 (12)	170 (1)
Symmetry codes: (iii) $-x + 1, y - $	$-\frac{1}{2}, -z+2;$ (iv	v) $-x + 1, -y + 1$	1, -z + 1; (vi)

 $x + 1, -y + \frac{3}{2}, z;$ (vii) x, y - 1, z.

The C-bound H atoms were included in the riding-model approximation with aromatic and methyl C—H bond lengths fixed at 0.95 and 0.98 Å, respectively. Methyl H atoms were modelled in positions with idealized torsion angles from the electron density; they are disordered equally over 2 sites about the crystallographic mirror plane. The O-bound H atoms were located in a difference Fourier map and refined with bond-length restraints of 0.90 (2) Å. The $U_{\rm iso}({\rm H})$ values were fixed at 1.2 $U_{\rm eq}$ of the parent atoms.



Figure 1

An *ORTEP-3* (Farrugia, 1997) representation of (I), shown with 50% probability displacement ellipsoids. Hydrogen bonds are indicated with dashed lines. Methyl H atoms are equally disordered about the crystallographic mirror plane. [Symmetry codes: (i) 1 - x, 1 - y, 2 - z; (ii) x, $\frac{1}{2} - y$, z; (iii) 1 - x, $-\frac{1}{2} + y$, 2 - z; (iv) 1 - x, 1 - y, 1 - z; (v) 1 - x, $-\frac{1}{2} + y$, 1 - z.]

Data collection: *SMART* (Siemens, 1995); cell refinement: *SAINT* (Siemens, 1995); data reduction: *SAINT* and *XPREP* (Siemens, 1995); program(s) used to solve structure: *SIR97* (Altomare *et al.*, 1999); program(s) used to refine structure: *SHELXL97* (Sheldrick, 1997); molecular graphics: *ORTEP-3* (Farrugia, 1997) and *WinGX32* (Farrugia, 1999); software used to prepare material for publication: *enCIFer* (Version 1.0; Allen *et al.*, 2004).

We gratefully acknowledge the Australian Research Council for support.

References

- Allen, F. H., Johnson, O., Shields, G. P., Smith, B. R. & Towler, M. (2004). J. Appl. Cryst. 37, 335–338.
- Altomare, A., Burla, M. C., Camalli, M., Cascarano, G. L., Giocavazzo, C., Guagliardi, A., Moliterni, A. G. C., Polidori, G. & Spagna, S. (1999). J. Appl. Cryst. 32, 115–119.
- Clegg, J. K., Lindoy, L. F., McMurtrie, J. C., Moubaraki, B. & Murray, K. S. (2004). Dalton Trans. pp. 2417–2423.
- Clegg, J. K., Lindoy, L. F., McMurtrie, J. C. & Schilter, D. (2005). Dalton Trans. pp. 857–864.
- Clegg, J. K., Lindoy, L. F., McMurtrie, J. C. & Schilter, D. (2006). Dalton Trans. doi: 10.1039/b517274h.
- Farrugia, L. J. (1997). J. Appl. Cryst. 30, 565.
- Farrugia, L. J. (1999). J. Appl. Cryst. 32, 837-838.
- Lindoy, L. F. & Atkinson, I. M. (2000). Self-Assembly in Supramolecular Systems, Monographs in Supramolecular Chemistry. Cambridge, England: Royal Society of Chemistry.
- Sheldrick, G. M. (1997). SHELXL97. University of Göttingen, Germany.
- Sheldrick, G. M. (2003). SADABS. University of Göttingen, Germany.
- Siemens (1995). SMART, SAINT and XPREP. Siemens Analytical X-ray Instruments Inc., Madison, Wisconsin, USA.